arXiv:1612.01818v1 [math.CO] 6 Dec 2016

AN INFINITE FAMILY OF CUBIC NONNORMAL CAYLEY GRAPHS ON NONABELIAN SIMPLE GROUPS

JIYONG CHEN, BINZHOU XIA, AND JIN-XIN ZHOU

Abstract. We construct a connected cubic nonnormal Cayley graph on A_{2^m-1} for each integer $m \geqslant 4$ and determine its full automorphism group. This is the first infinite family of connected cubic nonnormal Cayley graphs.

Key words: nonnormal Cayley graphs; cubic graphs; simple groups

1. Introduction

In this paper all graphs considered are finite, simple and undirected. Given a group G and an inverse closed subset S of $G \setminus \{1\}$, the *Cayley graph* $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ on G with respect to S is the graph with vertex set G such that two vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $yx^{-1} \in S$. Let $\widehat{G}$ be the right regular representation of G. It is easy to see that $\widehat{G}$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Cay}(G, S))$. Moreover, it is known to Godsil [5] that the normalizer of $\widehat{G}$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Cay}(G, S))$ is $\widehat{G} \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(G, S)$, where $\mathrm{Aut}(G, S)$ is the group of automorphisms of G fixing S setwise. In particular, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)) = \widehat{G} \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(G, S)$ if and only if $\widehat{G}$ is normal in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Cay}(G, S))$. In this consideration, Xu in [13] introduced the concept of normal Cayley graphs: a Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is said to be *normal* if $\widehat{G}$ is normal in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Cay}(G, S))$. The study of normality of a Cayley graph plays an important role in the study of its automorphism group because once a Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is known to be normal, to determine its full automorphism group only needs to determine the group $\mathrm{Aut}(G, S)$, which is usually much easier. For a survey paper on normality of Cayley graphs we refer the reader to [4].

The normality of cubic Cayley graph on nonabelian simple groups have received considerable attention. It is proved in [11] that a connected cubic Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ with G nonabelian simple is normal if $\widehat{G} \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(G, S)$ is transitive on the edge set of $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$. In [14, 15] it is proved that the only connected arc-transitive cubic nonnormal Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups are two Cayley graphs on A_{47} up to isomorphism, and their full automorphism groups are both isomorphic to A_{48}. On the other hand, examples of connected cubic nonnormal Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups are very rare. Since connected arc-transitive cubic nonnormal Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups are only the above mentioned two graphs on A_{47}, we can concentrate on the non-arc-transitive case for nonnormal ones. In this context, one has the following theorem combining [2, Theorem 1.1] and [16, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 1.1. ([2, 16]) *Let* $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ *be a connected cubic nonnormal Cayley graph on a nonabelian simple group* G*. If* $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ *is not arc-transitive, then one of the following holds:*

(a) $G = \mathrm{A}_{2^m-1}$ *with* $m \geqslant 3$*;*
(b) G *is a simple group of Lie type of even characteristic except* $\mathrm{PSL}_2(2^e)$, $\mathrm{PSL}_3(2^e)$, $\mathrm{PSU}_3(2^e)$, $\mathrm{PSp}_4(2^e)$, $\mathrm{E}_8(2^e)$, $\mathrm{F}_4(2^e)$, ${}^2\mathrm{F}_4(2^e)'$, $\mathrm{G}_2(2^e)$ *and* $\mathrm{Sz}(2^e)$.

Until recently, connected cubic nonnormal Cayley graphs on the groups listed in Theorem 1.1 are only found for A_{15} and A_{31} [9]. In 2008, Feng, Lu and Xu asked the following question in their survey paper [4] on normality of Cayley graphs.

Question 1.2. ([4, Problem 5.9]) *Are there infinitely many connected nonnormal Cayley graphs of valency* 3 *or* 4 *on nonabelian simple groups?*

Question 1.2 in the valency 4 case has been answered by Wang and Feng [12] in the affirmative. In this paper, we answer the question in valency 3 case. Our main result is Theorem 1.3 below, which gives a positive answer to Question 1.2.

Theorem 1.3. *For each integer* $m \geqslant 4$*, there exists a graph* Γ_m *satisfying:*

(a) Γ_m *is a connected cubic nonnormal Cayley graph of* A_{2^m-1}*;*
(b) $\Gamma_m \cong \mathrm{Cay}(\mathrm{A}_{2^m-1}, S)$ *for some set* S *of three involutions in* A_{2^m-1} *such that* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{A}_{2^m-1}, S) = 1$*;*
(c) $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_m) \cong \mathrm{A}_{2^m}$.

We call a Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ a *graphical regular representation* (*GRR* for short) of G if $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)) = \widehat{G}$. Note that a GRR is necessarily a normal Cayley graph, and a necessary condition for $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ to be a GRR is that $\mathrm{Aut}(G, S) = 1$. In many circumstances it is shown that this condition is also sufficient, see for example [2, 5, 6]. More generally, a problem is posed in [2] to determine the groups G such that a Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ on G is a GRR of G if and only if $\mathrm{Aut}(G, S) = 1$. It is worth remarking that our graph Γ_m in Theorem 1.3 as a Cayley graph on $G := \mathrm{A}_{2^m-1}$ is not only nonnormal (and hence not a GRR) but also satisfies the condition $\mathrm{Aut}(G, S) = 1$.

The paper is organized as follows. We shall first give the construction of Γ_m for Theorem 1.3 in Section 2. Then the whole section 3 will be devoted to proving the connectivity of Γ_m. Finally in Section 4 we prove the remaining properties of Γ_m described in Theorem 1.3, thus completing the proof of the theorem.

2. Construction of Γ_m

We first introduce some notation that is fixed throughout this paper. Let $m \geqslant 4$ be an integer,

$$H = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = b^2 = (ab)^2 = 1\rangle \times \langle c_1\rangle \times \langle c_2\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle c_{m-3}\rangle,$$

where $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{m-3}$ are involutions,

$$K = \langle a^2, b, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{m-3}\rangle = \langle a^2\rangle \times \langle b\rangle \times \langle c_1\rangle \times \langle c_2\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle c_{m-3}\rangle$$

and $h = a\prod_{i=0}^{\lceil (m-5)/2\rceil} c_{2i+1}$. Clearly, H is the direct product of a dihedral group D_8 of order 8 and an elementary abelian 2-group of rank $m-3$, so that $|H| = 2^m$. For the sake of convenience, put $c_{-1} = c_0 = 1$. Define $x \in \mathrm{Aut}(H)$ by letting

$$a^x = a^{-1}, \quad b^x = ab, \quad c_{2i+1}^x = c_{2i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{2i+2}^x = a^2 c_{2i+1} c_{2i+2}$$

for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant \lfloor (m-5)/2 \rfloor$ and letting $c_{m-3}^x = a^2 c_{m-3}$ in addition if m is even. Define $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}(K)$ by letting

$$(a^2)^\tau = b, \quad b^\tau = a^2, \quad c_{2i+1}^\tau = c_{2i-1}c_{2i}c_{2i+2} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{2i+2}^\tau = c_{2i-1}c_{2i}c_{2i+1}$$

for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant \lfloor (m-5)/2 \rfloor$ and letting $c_{m-3}^\tau = c_{m-3}$ in addition if m is even. Note that x and τ are indeed automorphisms of H and K because the images of generators under x and τ satisfy the defining relation for H and K, respectively. Denote the right regular representation of H by R. Let y be the permutation on H such that $g^y = g^\tau$ and

$$(hg)^y = \begin{cases} hg^\tau & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ hg^\tau c_{m-3} & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

for any $g \in K$. Let

$$z = \begin{cases} R(h)yR(h^{-1}) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ R(h)yR(h^{-1}c_{m-3}) & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

We will see that the three permutations x, y and z on H are all involutions in $\mathrm{Alt}(H)$.

Lemma 2.1. *x, y and z are all involutions.*

Proof. It is evident that none of x, y and z is trivial. Since x^2 fixes each of the generators $a, b, c_1, \ldots, c_{m-3}$ of H, we have $x^2 = 1$. Similarly, $\tau^2 = 1$. For any $g \in K$, $g^{y^2} = (g^\tau)^y = (g^\tau)^\tau = g^{\tau^2} = g$ and $(hg)^{y^2} = (hg^\tau)^y = h(g^\tau)^\tau = hg^{\tau^2} = hg$. Therefore, $y^2 = 1$. As a consequence, we know also that $z^2 = 1$ if m is odd. Now assume m is even. Then for any $g \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} g^{z^2} &= g^{R(h)yR(c_{m-3})yR(h^{-1}c_{m-3})} \\ &= (hh^{-1}gh)^{yR(c_{m-3})yR(h^{-1}c_{m-3})} \\ &= \left(h(h^{-1}gh)^\tau\right)^{yR(h^{-1}c_{m-3})} \\ &= h\left((h^{-1}gh)^\tau\right)^\tau c_{m-3}h^{-1}c_{m-3} \\ &= h(h^{-1}gh)h^{-1} \\ &= g \end{aligned}$$

andb

$$\begin{aligned} (hg)^{z^2} &= (hg)^{R(h)yR(c_{m-3})yR(h^{-1}c_{m-3})} \\ &= (hgh)^{yR(c_{m-3})yR(h^{-1}c_{m-3})} \\ &= ((hgh)^\tau)^{R(c_{m-3})yR(h^{-1}c_{m-3})} \\ &= ((hgh)^\tau c_{m-3})^{yR(h^{-1}c_{m-3})} \\ &= ((hgh)^\tau c_{m-3})^\tau h^{-1}c_{m-3} \\ &= hghc_{m-3}h^{-1}c_{m-3} \\ &= hg. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $z^2 = 1$, completing the proof. □

Lemma 2.2. $\mathrm{Aut}(H) \leqslant \mathrm{Alt}(H)$.

Proof. Since the center $\mathbf{Z}(H) = \langle a^2, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{m-3}\rangle$ is a characteristic subgroups of H, each automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(H)$ induces an automorphism of $H/\mathbf{Z}(H) = \{\mathbf{Z}(H), a\mathbf{Z}(H), b\mathbf{Z}(H), ab\mathbf{Z}(H)\}$. More precisely, there is a homomorphism φ from $\mathrm{Aut}(H)$ to $\mathrm{Aut}(H/\mathbf{Z}(H))$ such that $\varphi(\sigma)$ maps $g\mathbf{Z}(H)$ to $g^\sigma\mathbf{Z}(H)$ for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(H)$ and $g \in H$.

Take an arbitrary $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(H)$. Note that $a\mathbf{Z}(H)$ contains elements of order four while the elements in $b\mathbf{Z}(H)$ and $ab\mathbf{Z}(H)$ are all involutions. We see that if $\varphi(\sigma) \neq 1$ then $\varphi(\sigma)$ must fix the elements $\mathbf{Z}(H)$ and $a\mathbf{Z}(H)$ and swap $b\mathbf{Z}(H)$ and $ab\mathbf{Z}(H)$ in $H/\mathbf{Z}(H)$. Consequently, $\varphi(\sigma) \in \langle\varphi(x)\rangle$, and so $\sigma \in w\langle x\rangle$ for some $w \in \ker(\varphi)$. Since w stabilizes $\mathbf{Z}(H)$, $a\mathbf{Z}(H)$ and $b\mathbf{Z}(H)$, respectively, we have

$$a^w = a^{2\lambda+1}\prod_{j=1}^{m-3} c_j^{\lambda_j}, \quad b^w = a^{2\mu}b\prod_{j=1}^{m-3} c_j^{\mu_j} \quad \text{and} \quad c_i^w = a^{2k_i}\prod_{j=1}^{m-3} c_j^{k_{i,j}}$$

for each i with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m-3$, where λ, μ, k_i, λ_j , μ_j and $k_{i,j}$ are all in $\{0, 1\}$. Let w_1, w_2 and w_3 be automorphisms of H such that

$$\begin{array}{lll} a^{w_1} = a^{2\lambda+1}, & b^{w_1} = a^{2\mu}b, & c_i^{w_1} = a^{2k_i}c_i, \\ a^{w_2} = a, & b^{w_2} = b, & c_i^{w_2} = \prod_{j=1}^{m-3} c_j^{k_{i,j}}, \\ a^{w_3} = a\prod_{j=1}^{m-3} c_j^{\lambda_j}, & b^{w_3} = b\prod_{j=1}^{m-3} c_j^{\mu_j}, & c_i^{w_3} = c_i. \end{array}$$

Then w_1 and w_3 are involutions, and $w = w_1w_2w_3$.

For any $\rho \in \mathrm{Aut}(H)$, the fixed point set of ρ is a subgroup of H and thereby has size 2^ℓ for some integer ℓ such that $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m$. Thus, any involution of $\mathrm{Aut}(H)$ with at least four fixed points lies in $\mathrm{Alt}(H)$ as it is a product of $(|H|-2^\ell)/2 = 2^{m-1}-2^{\ell-1}$ transpositions for some integer ℓ such that $2 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m-1$. Since x and w_3 fix every point in $\langle a^2, c_1\rangle$ and w_1 fixes every point in $\langle a^{\lambda+1}, a^\mu b^\lambda\rangle$, we then conclude that $x, w_3, w_1 \in \mathrm{Alt}(H)$. Moreover, since $(gv)^{w_2} = gv^{w_2}$ for any $g \in \langle a, b\rangle$ and $v \in \langle c_1, \ldots, c_{m-3}\rangle$, the number of transpositions of w_2 is divisible by $|\langle a, b\rangle| = 8$. In particular, $w_2 \in \mathrm{Alt}(H)$. Now w_1, w_2, w_3 and x are all in $\mathrm{Alt}(H)$. It follows that $\sigma \in \mathrm{Alt}(H)$ due to $\sigma \in w\langle x\rangle = w_1w_2w_3\langle x\rangle$. This shows that $\mathrm{Aut}(H) \leqslant \mathrm{Alt}(H)$. □

The next lemma says that x and y as well as the elements of $R(H)$ are all even permutations on H. Note that this also implies $z \in \mathrm{Alt}(H)$ since $z \in \langle y, R(H)\rangle$.

Lemma 2.3. $\langle x, y, R(H)\rangle \leqslant \mathrm{Alt}(H)$.

Proof. Lemma 2.2 already indicates $x \in \mathrm{Alt}(H)$. Let σ be the map from K to hK sending g to hg for any $g \in K$, and t be the permutation on H such that $g^t = g$ and $(hg)^t = hgc_{m-3}^{m-1}$ for any $g \in K$. Then t is the identity permutation if m is odd, and is a product of $|K|/2$ transpositions if m is even. In particular, $t \in \mathrm{Alt}(H)$. From the definition of y one sees that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{yt} & K \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sigma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sigma} \\ hK & \xrightarrow{yt} & hK \end{array}$$

Hence $(yt)|_{hK}$ has the same cycle structure as $(yt)|_K$, and so $yt \in \mathrm{Alt}(H)$. This in turn gives $y \in \mathrm{Alt}(H)$. Finally, as H is a 2-group and not cyclic, we have $R(H) \leqslant \mathrm{Alt}(H)$. Consequently, $\langle x, y, R(H)\rangle \leqslant \mathrm{Alt}(H)$. □

Recall the standard construction of the *coset graph* $\mathrm{Cos}(G, H, HSH)$ given a group G with a subgroup H and a subset S such that $S \cap H = \emptyset$ and HSH is inverse closed. Such a graph has vertex set $[G{:}H]$, the set of right cosets of H in G, and edge set $\{\{Hg, Hsg\} \mid g \in G,\ s \in HSH\}$. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Cos}(G, H, HSH)$ has valency $|HSH|/|H|$, and G acts by right multiplication on $[G{:}H]$ as a group of automorphisms of $\mathrm{Cos}(G, H, HSH)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Cos}(G, H, HSH)$ is connected if and only if $\langle S, H\rangle = G$.

Now we are in the position to construct the graph Γ_m for Theorem 1.3

Construction 2.4. For any integer $m \geqslant 4$, let

$$\Gamma_m = \mathrm{Cos}(\mathrm{Alt}(H), R(H), R(H)\{x, y\}R(H))$$

with H, x and y defined at the beginning of this section.

3. Connectivity of Γ_m

The aim of this section it to prove that Γ_m is connected. According to the construction of Γ_m, it suffices to prove $\langle x, y, R(H)\rangle = \mathrm{Alt}(H)$, and we will achieve this by dealing with the cases m is odd and m is even separately. For any group G, denote the set $G \setminus \{1\}$ by G^*. For a permutation σ on a set Ω and $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$, we write $\alpha \xrightarrow{\sigma} \beta$ if $\alpha^\sigma = \beta$.

3.1. **Technical lemms.** We first establish two technical lemmas that will be needed later in this section.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\ell \geqslant 2$ be an even integer, and $V = \langle e_1\rangle \times \langle e_2\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle e_\ell\rangle$ be a group with involutions $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_\ell$. Denote the right regular representation of V by r. Let $\omega = r(e_{\ell-1}e_\ell)$, $e_{-1} = e_0 = 1$, and χ and ψ be automorphisms of V such that $e_{2i+1}^\chi = e_{2i+1}$, $e_{2i+2}^\chi = e_{2i+1}e_{2i+2}$, $e_{2i+1}^\psi = e_{2i-1}e_{2i}e_{2i+2}$ and $e_{2i+2}^\psi = e_{2i-1}e_{2i}e_{2i+1}$ for each i with $0 \leqslant i \leqslant (\ell-2)/2$. Then $\langle \chi, \psi, \omega\rangle$ is a transitive subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(V)$.*

Proof. Write $N = \langle \chi, \psi, \omega\rangle$. Since χ is an automorphisms of V, we have

$$r(e_\ell) = r((e_{\ell-1}e_\ell)^\chi) = \chi^{-1}r(e_{\ell-1}e_\ell)\chi = \chi^{-1}\omega\chi \in N$$

and so $r(e_{\ell-1}) = r(e_{\ell-1}e_\ell)r(e_\ell) = \omega r(e_\ell) \in N$. Suppose there exists a nonnegative integer $i \leqslant (\ell-2)/2$ such that $r(e_{\ell-2i+1}), r(e_{\ell-2i+2}), \ldots, r(e_{\ell-1}), r(e_\ell)$ are all in N. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} r(e_{\ell-2i-1}e_{\ell-2i}) &= r(e_{\ell-2i-1}e_{\ell-2i}e_{\ell-2i+1})r(e_{\ell-2i+1}) \\ &= r(e_{\ell-2i+2}^\psi)r(e_{\ell-2i+1}) = \psi^{-1}r(e_{\ell-2i+2})\psi r(e_{\ell-2i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

since ψ is an automorphisms of V. We thereby deduce that $r(e_{\ell-2i-1}e_{\ell-2i}) \in N$. It follows that $r(e_{\ell-2i}) = r((e_{\ell-2i-1}e_{\ell-2i})^\chi) = \chi^{-1}r(e_{\ell-2i-1}e_{\ell-2i})\chi \in N$ and thus $r(e_{\ell-2i-1}) = r(e_{\ell-2i-1}e_{\ell-2i})r(e_{\ell-2i}) \in N$. Then by induction one concludes that $r(e_1), r(e_2), \ldots, r(e_{\ell-1}), r(e_\ell)$ are all in N. Consequently, $r(V) \leqslant N$ and so N is transitive on V. □

The following lemma is a consequence of the classification of doubly transitive permutation groups (see for example [1]).

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose G is a doubly transitive permutation group on 2^m points. Then one of the following holds.*

(i) $G \leqslant \mathrm{AGL}_m(2)$.
(ii) $2^m - 1 = q$ *for some prime power* q *and* $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q) \leqslant G \leqslant \mathrm{P\Gamma L}_2(q)$.
(iii) $\mathrm{A}_{2^m} \leqslant G \leqslant \mathrm{S}_{2^m}$.

Remark. In fact, the prime power q in case (ii) of Lemma 3.2 is necessarily a prime by Mihăilescu's theorem [10]. In particular, m must be odd in case (ii) of Lemma 3.2.

3.2. **Odd m.** Throughout this subsection, let m be odd, and

$$U = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{m-3} c_i^{k_i} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{(m-3)/2} k_{2j} \equiv 0 \pmod 2 \right\}.$$

Note that $\{U, Uc_{m-3}\}$ forms a partition of $\langle c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{m-3}\rangle$, and x stabilizes U setwise. For each $u \in U$ we have

$$u \xrightarrow{x} u^x \xrightarrow{y} u^{xy} \xrightarrow{z} u^x \xrightarrow{x} u \xrightarrow{y} u^y \xrightarrow{z} u, \tag{1}$$

$$au \xrightarrow{x} a^{-1}u^x \xrightarrow{y} abu^{xy}c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{z} au^x \xrightarrow{x} a^{-1}u \xrightarrow{y} abu^y c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{z} au, \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&uc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{x} a^2u^x c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{y} bu^{xy}c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{z} bu^x c_{m-4}c_{m-3}\\
&bu^x c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{x} a^{-1}buc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{y} a^{-1}bu^y c_{m-6}c_{m-5}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{z} a^{-1}buc_{m-3}\\
&a^{-1}buc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{x} bu^x c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{y} a^2u^{xy}c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{z} a^2bu^x c_{m-4}c_{m-3}\\
&a^2bu^x c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{x} abuc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{y} a^{-1}u^y c_{m-6}c_{m-5}c_{m-4} \xrightarrow{z} a^{-1}uc_{m-3}\\
&a^{-1}uc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{x} a^{-1}u^x c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{y} abu^{xy} \xrightarrow{z} au^x c_{m-4}c_{m-3}\\
&au^x c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{x} auc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{y} au^y c_{m-6}c_{m-5}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{z} abuc_{m-3}\\
&abuc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{x} a^2bu^x c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{y} a^2bu^{xy}c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{z} a^2u^x c_{m-4}c_{m-3}\\
&a^2u^x c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{x} uc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{y} u^y c_{m-6}c_{m-5}c_{m-4} \xrightarrow{z} uc_{m-3},
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&a^2u \xrightarrow{x} a^2u^x \xrightarrow{y} bu^{xy} \xrightarrow{z} bu^x \xrightarrow{x} abu \xrightarrow{y} a^{-1}u^y c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{z} a^{-1}u\\
&a^{-1}u \xrightarrow{x} au^x \xrightarrow{y} au^{xy}c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{z} abu^x \xrightarrow{x} bu \xrightarrow{y} a^2u^y \xrightarrow{z} a^2bu\\
&a^2bu \xrightarrow{x} a^{-1}bu^x \xrightarrow{y} a^{-1}bu^{xy}c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{z} a^{-1}bu^x \xrightarrow{x} a^2bu \xrightarrow{y} a^2bu^y \xrightarrow{z} a^2u.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose m is odd. Then the permutation $(xyz)^8$ on H has cycle decomposition*

$$(xyz)^8 = \left(\prod_{u\in U}(a^2u, a^{-1}u, a^2bu)\right)\left(\prod_{u\in U}(bu, abu, a^{-1}bu)\right).$$

Proof. Denote $H_{i,j,k} = \{a^ib^juc_{m-3}^k \mid u \in U\}$, where $i \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$ and $j, k \in \{0, 1\}$. Then $\{H_{i,j,k} \mid -1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2,\ 0 \leqslant j \leqslant 1,\ 0 \leqslant k \leqslant 1\}$ forms a partition of H.

By (1) and (2), $u^{(xyz)^2} = u$ and $(au)^{(xyz)^2} = au$ for any $u \in U$. Hence $(xyz)^8$ fixes $H_{0,0,0} \cup H_{1,0,0}$ pointwise. From (3) one sees that $(xyz)^8$ fixes uc_{m-3}, $bu^xc_{m-4}c_{m-3}$, $a^{-1}buc_{m-3}$, $a^2bu^xc_{m-4}c_{m-3}$, $a^{-1}uc_{m-3}$, $au^xc_{m-4}c_{m-3}$, $abuc_{m-3}$ and $a^2u^xc_{m-4}c_{m-3}$ for any $u \in U$. Noting $\{u^xc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \mid u \in U\} = Uc_{m-3}$, we conclude that $(xyz)^8$ fixes

$$H_{0,0,1} \cup H_{0,1,1} \cup H_{-1,1,1} \cup H_{2,1,1} \cup H_{-1,0,1} \cup H_{1,0,1} \cup H_{1,1,1} \cup H_{2,0,1}$$

pointwise. This together with the conclusion that $(xyz)^8$ fixes $H_{0,0,0}\cup H_{1,0,0}$ pointwise shows it suffices to prove that $(xyz)^8$ induces the permutation

$$\left(\prod_{u\in U}(a^2u, a^{-1}u, a^2bu)\right)\left(\prod_{u\in U}(bu, abu, a^{-1}bu)\right)$$

on $H_{2,0,0}\cup H_{-1,0,0}\cup H_{2,1,0}\cup H_{0,1,0}\cup H_{1,1,0}\cup H_{-1,1,0}$. Indeed, (4) implies

$$a^2u \xrightarrow{(xyz)^8} a^{-1}u \xrightarrow{(xyz)^8} a^2bu \xrightarrow{(xyz)^8} a^2u$$

and

$$bu^x \xrightarrow{(xyz)^8} abu^x \xrightarrow{(xyz)^8} a^{-1}bu^x \xrightarrow{(xyz)^8} bu^x$$

for any $u\in U$. This yields the desired conclusion since $x|_U \in \mathrm{Aut}(U)$. □

Before proving the next lemma, we note that for each $u\in U$,

$$a^{-1}bu \xrightarrow{xyzx} a^2u \xrightarrow{xyzx} abu \xrightarrow{yz} a^{-1}u \xrightarrow{xyzx} bu \xrightarrow{yz} a^2bu \xrightarrow{zyxzyxyz} au \tag{5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &a^{-1}uc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{yz} auc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyzx} a^2buc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{yz} a^2uc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{yz} buc_{m-3}\\ &auc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{yz} abuc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyzx} uc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyzx} a^{-1}buc_{m-3}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose m is odd. Then for any $g\in H\setminus U$, there exists $\zeta\in\langle x,y,z\rangle$ such that $g^\zeta = c_{m-3}$.*

Proof. Let $v\in\langle c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_{m-3}\rangle$ such that $g\in\langle a,b\rangle v$, and define χ, ψ and ω as in Lemma 3.1 with $\ell = m-3$ and $e_i = c_i$. Then χ, ψ and $\psi\omega$ are all involutions in $\mathrm{Sym}(\langle c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_{m-3}\rangle)$, and by Lemma 3.1, there exist $\eta_1,\eta_2,\ldots,\eta_t\in\{\chi,\psi,\psi\omega\}$ such that $v^{\eta_1\eta_2\cdots\eta_t} = c_{m-3}$. Let $\eta_0 = 1\in\mathrm{Sym}(\langle c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_{m-3}\rangle)$ and $\zeta_0 = 1\in\langle x,y,z\rangle$. Obviously, $g^{\zeta_0}\in\langle a,b\rangle v^{\eta_0}\setminus U$. We shall prove by induction that there exist $\zeta_0,\zeta_1,\ldots,\zeta_t\in\langle x,y,z\rangle$ with

$$g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_t}\in\langle a,b\rangle v^{\eta_0\eta_1\cdots\eta_t}\setminus U.$$

By (5), for any $u\in U$ and $\alpha,\beta\in\langle a,b\rangle^*$, there exists $\varepsilon\in\langle x,yz\rangle$ such that $(\alpha u)^\varepsilon = \beta u$. By (6), for any $u\in U$ and $\alpha,\beta\in\langle a,b\rangle$, there exists $\varepsilon\in\langle x,yz\rangle$ such that $(\alpha uc_{m-3})^\varepsilon = \beta uc_{m-3}$.

Suppose that there exist $\zeta_0,\zeta_1,\ldots,\zeta_{i-1}\in\langle x,y,z\rangle$ with $1\leqslant i\leqslant t$ and

$$g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_{i-1}}\in\langle a,b\rangle v^{\eta_0\eta_1\cdots\eta_{i-1}}\setminus U.$$

If $\eta_i = \chi$, then let $\zeta_i = x$. If $\eta_i = \psi$, then there exists $\varepsilon_i\in\langle x,yz\rangle$ such that $(g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_{i-1}})^{\varepsilon_i} = a^2v^{\eta_0\eta_1\cdots\eta_{i-1}}$ and we let $\zeta_i = \varepsilon_i y$. If $\eta_i = \psi\omega$, then there exists $\varepsilon_i\in\langle x,yz\rangle$ such that $(g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_{i-1}})^{\varepsilon_i} = av^{\eta_0\eta_1\cdots\eta_{i-1}}$ and we let $\zeta_i = \varepsilon_i y$. It follows that

$$g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_{i-1}\zeta_i} = (g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_{i-1}})^{\zeta_i}\in(\langle a,b\rangle v^{\eta_0\eta_1\cdots\eta_{i-1}}\setminus U)^{\zeta_i}\subseteq\langle a,b\rangle v^{\eta_0\eta_1\cdots\eta_i}\setminus U.$$

By induction we now have $\zeta_0,\zeta_1,\ldots,\zeta_t\in\langle x,y,z\rangle$ such that

$$g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_t}\in\langle a,b\rangle v^{\eta_0\eta_1\cdots\eta_t}\setminus U = \langle a,b\rangle c_{m-3}.$$

Then as there exists $\varepsilon\in\langle x,yz\rangle$ such that $(g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_t})^\varepsilon = c_{m-3}$, we have $g^\zeta = c_{m-3}$ with $\zeta := \zeta_0\zeta_1\ldots\zeta_t\varepsilon\in\langle x,y,z\rangle$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose m is odd. Then $\langle x, y, z\rangle$ is transitive on H^*.*

Proof. It view of Lemma 3.4, we only need to prove that for any $u \in U^*$, there exists $\varepsilon \in \langle x, y\rangle$ such that $u^\varepsilon \in Uc_{m-3}$. Write $u = c_1^{k_1}c_2^{k_2}\cdots c_{m-3}^{k_{m-3}}$ with $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{m-3} \in \{0, 1\}$. Denote $V_i = \langle c_i, c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_{m-3}\rangle$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m-3$, and set $V_{m-2} = 1$. Let s be the smallest integer in $\{0, 1, \ldots, (m-5)/2\}$ such that $k_{2s+1} + k_{2s+2} > 0$. Taking

$$\varepsilon = x^{k_{2s+1}+k_{2s+2}-1}y(xy)^s,$$

we prove below that $u^\varepsilon \in Uc_{m-3}$ by induction on s.

First suppose $s = 0$. If $k_1 = 0$ and $k_2 = 1$, then $u = c_2u_1$ with $u_1 \in V_3$ and $u_1 \in Uc_{m-3}$ since $u \in U$. In this case, $u_1^y \in Uc_{m-3}$, and it follows that $u^\varepsilon = (c_2u_1)^y = c_1u_1^y \in Uc_{m-3}$. If $k_1 = 1$ and $k_2 = 0$, then $u = c_1u_1$ with $u_1 \in V_3$ and $u_1 \in U$ as $u \in U$. In this case, $u_1^y \in U$ and so $u^\varepsilon = (c_1u_1)^y = c_2u_1^y \in Uc_{m-3}$. If $k_1 = k_2 = 1$, then $u = c_1c_2u_1$ with $u_1 \in V_3$ and $u_1 \in Uc_{m-3}$ since $u \in U$. In this case, $u_1^x = a^2u_2$ for some $u_2 \in V_3 \cap Uc_{m-3}$, whence $u^\varepsilon = (c_1c_2u_1)^{xy} = (a^2c_2u_1^x)^y = (c_2u_2)^y = c_1u_2^y \in Uc_{m-3}$ as $u_2^y \in Uc_{m-3}$.

Next suppose $s > 0$. If $k_{2s+1} = 0$ and $k_{2s+2} = 1$, then $u = c_{2s+2}u_1$ with $u_1 \in V_{2s+3}$, which implies $u^y = (c_{2s+2}u_1)^y = c_{2s-1}c_{2s}c_{2s+1}u_1^y \in c_{2s-1}c_{2s}V_{2s+1}$. If $k_{2s+1} = 1$ and $k_{2s+2} = 0$, then $u = c_{2s+1}u_1$ with $u_1 \in V_{2s+3}$ and therefore $u^y = (c_{2s+1}u_1)^y = c_{2s-1}c_{2s}c_{2s+2}u_1^y \in c_{2s-1}c_{2s}V_{2s+1}$. If $k_{2s+1} = k_{2s+2} = 1$, then $u = c_{2s+1}c_{2s+2}u_1$ with $u_1 \in V_{2s+3}$ and $u_1 \in Uc_{m-3}$ since $u \in U$. In this case, $u_1^x = a^2u_2$ for some $u_2 \in V_{2s+3}$, and so $u^{xy} = (c_{2s+1}c_{2s+2}u_1)^{xy} = (a^2c_{2s+2}u_1^x)^y = (c_{2s+2}u_2)^y = c_{2s-1}c_{2s}c_{2s+1}u_2^y \in c_{2s-1}c_{2s}V_{2s+1}$. To sum up, we always have $u^{\varepsilon_0} \in c_{2s-1}c_{2s}V_{2s+1}$, where

$$\varepsilon_0 = x^{k_{2s+1}+k_{2s+2}-1}y.$$

By the inductive hypothesis,

$$(u^{\varepsilon_0})^{(xy)^s} = (u^{\varepsilon_0})^{x^{1+1-1}y(xy)^{s-1}} \in Uc_{m-3}.$$

Consequently,

$$u^{x^{k_{2s+1}+k_{2s+2}-1}y(xy)^s} = (u^{\varepsilon_0})^{(xy)^s} \in Uc_{m-3},$$

completing the proof. □

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose m is odd. Then $\langle x, y, R(H)\rangle = \mathrm{Alt}(H)$.*

Proof. Let $G = \langle x, y, R(H)\rangle$. Notice that x, y and z all involutions of G fixing 1. By Lemma 3.5, $\langle x, y, z\rangle$ is transitive on H^*, and so is G_1, the stabilizer of 1 in G. This together with the transitivity of $R(H)$ on H implies that G is doubly transitive on H. Therefore, one of cases (i)–(iii) in Lemma 3.2 holds.

Assume that $G \leqslant \mathrm{AGL}_m(2)$ as in case (i) of Lemma 3.2. Then $(xyz)^8 \in G_1 \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_m(2)$ and hence the set of fixed points of $(xyz)^8$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_2$. However, Lemma 3.3 shows that the number of fixed points of $(xyz)^8$ is $|H| - 3|U| - 3|U| = 5 \cdot 2^{m-3}$, a contradiction.

Assume that $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q) \leqslant G \leqslant \mathrm{P\Gamma L}_2(q)$ as in case (ii) of Lemma 3.2, where $q = 2^m - 1$ is a prime. Then $R(H) \leqslant G \leqslant \mathrm{P\Gamma L}_2(q) = \mathrm{PGL}_2(q)$ while $\mathrm{PGL}_2(q)$. It follows that $R(H)$ is contained in $\mathrm{D}_{2(q+1)}$, the Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(q)$. This is impossible since $R(H) \cong \mathrm{D}_8 \times \mathrm{C}_2^{m-3}$.

Now $\mathrm{Alt}(H) \leqslant G \leqslant \mathrm{Sym}(H)$. This in conjunction with Lemma 2.3 forces $G = \mathrm{Alt}(H)$, which completes the proof. □

3.3. **Even** m**.** Throughout this subsection, let m be even, $h_1 = hc_{m-3}$, $H_1 = \langle a, b, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{m-4}\rangle$, $K_1 = \langle a^2, b, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{m-4}\rangle$ and

$$U = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{m-3} c_i^{k_i} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{(m-4)/2} k_{2j} \equiv k_{m-3} \pmod 2 \right\}.$$

Define permutations x_1, y_1 and z_1 on H_1 such that $x_1 = x|_{H_1}$, $y_1|_{K_1} = y|_{K_1}$, $y_1|_{h_1K_1} = (yR(c_{m-3}))|_{h_1K_1}$, $z_1|_{K_1} = z|_{K_1}$ and $z_1|_{h_1K_1} = (zR(c_{m-3}))|_{h_1K_1}$. One can verify readily that $(h_1k_1)^{y_1} = h_1k_1^{y_1}$ for any $k_1 \in K_1$, $z_1 = (R(h_1)y_1R(h_1^{-1}))|_{H_1}$ and $y_1z_1 = (yz)|_{H_1}$. For each $u \in U \cap H_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &uc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} bu^x c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} a^{-1}buc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} a^2bu^x c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} a^{-1}uc_{m-3} \\ &a^{-1}uc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} au^x c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} abuc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} a^2u^x c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} uc_{m-3}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$uc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} u^x c_{m-5}c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} uc_{m-4}c_{m-3}, \tag{8}$$

$$auc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} au^x c_{m-5}c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} auc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &a^2uc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} bu^x c_{m-5}c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} a^{-1}uc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \\ &a^{-1}uc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} abu^x c_{m-5}c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} a^2buc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \\ &a^2buc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} a^{-1}bu^x c_{m-5}c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyz} a^2uc_{m-4}c_{m-3}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose m is even. Then the permutation $(xyz)^8|_{H_1c_{m-3}}$ has cycle decomposition*

$$\begin{aligned} (xyz)^8|_{H_1c_{m-3}} &= \left(\prod_{u\in U\cap H_1} (a^2uc_{m-4}c_{m-3}, a^{-1}uc_{m-4}c_{m-3}, a^2buc_{m-4}c_{m-3}) \right) \\ &\times \left(\prod_{u\in U\cap H_1} (buc_{m-4}c_{m-3}, abuc_{m-4}c_{m-3}, a^{-1}buc_{m-4}c_{m-3}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Denote $H_{i,j,k} = \{a^ib^juc_{m-4}^kc_{m-3} \mid u \in U \cap H_1\}$, where $i \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$ and $j, k \in \{0, 1\}$. Then $\{H_{i,j,k} \mid -1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2,\ 0 \leqslant j \leqslant 1,\ 0 \leqslant k \leqslant 1\}$ forms a partition of H_1c_{m-3}.

By (8) and (9), $(xyz)^2$ fixes $H_{0,0,1} \cup H_{1,0,1}$ pointwise and so does $(xyz)^8$. From (7) one sees that $(xyz)^8$ fixes uc_{m-3}, bu^xc_{m-3}, $a^{-1}buc_{m-3}$, $a^2bu^xc_{m-3}$, $a^{-1}uc_{m-3}$, au^xc_{m-3}, $abuc_{m-3}$ and $a^2u^xc_{m-3}$ for any $u \in U \cap H_1$. As $\{u^x \mid u \in U \cap H_1\} = U \cap H_1$, we then conclude that $(xyz)^8$ fixes

$$H_{0,0,0} \cup H_{0,1,0} \cup H_{-1,1,0} \cup H_{2,1,0} \cup H_{-1,0,0} \cup H_{1,0,0} \cup H_{1,1,0} \cup H_{2,0,0}$$

pointwise. This together with the conclusion that $(xyz)^8$ fixes $H_{0,0,1} \cup H_{1,0,1}$ pointwise shows it suffices to prove that $(xyz)^8$ induces the permutation

$$\prod_{u\in U\cap H_1} (a^2uc_{m-4}c_{m-3}, a^{-1}uc_{m-4}c_{m-3}, a^2buc_{m-4}c_{m-3})$$

on $H_{2,0,1} \cup H_{-1,0,1} \cup H_{2,1,1}$ and the permutation

$$\prod_{u \in U \cap H_1} (buc_{m-4}c_{m-3}, abuc_{m-4}c_{m-3}, a^{-1}buc_{m-4}c_{m-3})$$

on $H_{0,1,1} \cup H_{1,1,1} \cup H_{-1,1,1}$. Indeed, (10) implies

$$a^2uc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{(xyz)^8} a^{-1}uc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{(xyz)^8} a^2buc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{(xyz)^8} a^2uc_{m-4}c_{m-3}$$

and

$$bu^xc_{m-5}c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{(xyz)^8} abu^xc_{m-5}c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{(xyz)^8} a^{-1}bu^xc_{m-5}c_{m-4}c_{m-3}$$
$$a^{-1}bu^xc_{m-5}c_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{(xyz)^8} bu^xc_{m-5}c_{m-4}c_{m-3}$$

for any $u \in U \cap H_1$. This yields the desired conclusion since the maps $u \mapsto u^x$ and $u \mapsto u^xc_{m-5}$ are both bijections from $U \cap H_1$ onto itself. □

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose m is even. For any $v \in U$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \langle a, b\rangle^*$, there exists $\varepsilon \in \langle x, yz\rangle$ such that $(\alpha v)^\varepsilon = \beta v$. For any $v \in \langle c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{m-3}\rangle \setminus U$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \langle a, b\rangle$, there exists $\varepsilon \in \langle x, yz\rangle$ such that $(\alpha v)^\varepsilon = \beta v$.*

Proof. First recall from (5) that for any $u \in U \cap H_1$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \langle a, b\rangle^*$, there exist integers $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{2r-1}, k_{2r}$ with

$$(\alpha u)^{\prod_{i=1}^r x_1^{k_{2i-1}}(y_1z_1)^{k_{2i}}} = \beta u.$$

Then taking $\varepsilon = \prod_{i=1}^r x^{k_{2i-1}}(yz)^{k_{2i}}$ we have $(\alpha u)^\varepsilon = \beta u$ since $x|_{H_1} = x_1$ and $(yz)|_{H_1} = y_1z_1$. Next note that for any $u \in U \cap H_1$,

$$buc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{yz} a^2buc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{yz} a^2uc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{(xyz)^2} a^{-1}uc_{m-4}c_{m-3}$$
$$auc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{zy} a^{-1}uc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{zy} abuc_{m-4}c_{m-3} \xrightarrow{(xyz)^2} a^{-1}buc_{m-4}c_{m-3}.$$

We then conclude that any $v \in U$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \langle a, b\rangle^*$, there exists $\varepsilon \in \langle x, yz\rangle$ such that $(\alpha v)^\varepsilon = \beta v$.

Similarly, one derives from (6) that for any $u \in U \cap H_1$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \langle a, b\rangle$, there exists $\varepsilon \in \langle x, yz\rangle$ with $(\alpha uc_{m-4})^\varepsilon = \beta uc_{m-4}$. Moreover,

$$a^{-1}uc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{yz} auc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyzx} a^2buc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{yz} a^2uc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{yz} buc_{m-3}$$
$$auc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{yz} abuc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyzx} uc_{m-3} \xrightarrow{xyzx} a^{-1}buc_{m-3}$$

for any $u \in U \cap H_1$. Hence for any $v \in \langle c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{m-3}\rangle \setminus U$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \langle a, b\rangle$, there exists $\varepsilon \in \langle x, yz\rangle$ such that $(\alpha v)^\varepsilon = \beta v$. □

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose m is even. Then for any $g \in H \setminus U$, there exists $\zeta \in \langle x, y, z\rangle$ such that $g^\zeta = h$.*

Proof. Let $v \in \langle c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{m-4}\rangle$ such that $g \in \langle a, b, c_{m-3}\rangle v$, and define χ, ψ and ω as in Lemma 3.1 with $\ell = m - 4$ and $e_i = c_i$. Then χ, ψ and $\psi\omega$ are all involutions in $\mathrm{Sym}(\langle c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{m-4}\rangle)$, and by Lemma 3.1, there exist $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_t \in \{\chi, \psi, \psi\omega\}$ such that $v^{\eta_1\eta_2\cdots\eta_t} = a^{-1}h_1$. Let $\eta_0 = 1 \in \mathrm{Sym}(\langle c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{m-4}\rangle)$ and $\zeta_0 = 1 \in \langle x, y, z\rangle$. Obviously, $g^{\zeta_0} \in \langle a, b, c_{m-3}\rangle v^{\eta_0} \setminus U$. We shall prove by induction that there exist $\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_t \in \langle x, y, z\rangle$ with

$$g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_t} \in \langle a, b\rangle v^{\eta_0\eta_1\cdots\eta_t} \setminus U.$$

Suppose there exist $\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{i-1} \in \langle x, y, z\rangle$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$ such that

$$g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_{i-1}} \in \langle a, b, c_{m-3}\rangle v^{\eta_0\eta_1\cdots\eta_{i-1}} \setminus U.$$

If $\eta_i = \chi$, then let $\zeta_i = x$. If $\eta_i = \psi$, then by Lemma 3.8 there exists $\varepsilon_i \in \langle x, yz\rangle$ such that $(g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_{i-1}})^{\varepsilon_i} \in a^2\langle c_{m-3}\rangle v^{\eta_0\eta_1\cdots\eta_{i-1}}$ and we let $\zeta_i = \varepsilon_i y$. If $\eta_i = \psi\omega$, then by Lemma 3.8 there exists $\varepsilon_i \in \langle x, yz\rangle$ such that $(g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_{i-1}})^{\varepsilon_i} \in a\langle c_{m-3}\rangle v^{\eta_0\eta_1\cdots\eta_{i-1}}$ and we let $\zeta_i = \varepsilon_i y$. It follows that

$$g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_{i-1}\zeta_i} = (g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_{i-1}})^{\zeta_i} \in (\langle a, b, c_{m-3}\rangle v^{\eta_0\eta_1\cdots\eta_{i-1}} \setminus U)^{\zeta_i} \subseteq \langle a, b, c_{m-3}\rangle v^{\eta_0\eta_1\cdots\eta_i} \setminus U.$$

By induction we now have $\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_t \in \langle x, y, z\rangle$ such that

$$g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_t} \in \langle a, b, c_{m-3}\rangle v^{\eta_0\eta_1\cdots\eta_t} \setminus U = \langle a, b, c_{m-3}\rangle a^{-1}h_1 \setminus U.$$

Then by Lemma 3.8 there exists $\varepsilon \in \langle x, yz\rangle$ such that $(g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_t})^{\varepsilon} \in h_1\langle c_{m-3}\rangle$. Let $\zeta = \zeta_0\zeta_1\ldots\zeta_r\varepsilon y$ if $(g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_r})^{\varepsilon} = h_1$ and let $\zeta = \zeta_0\zeta_1\ldots\zeta_r\varepsilon$ if $(g^{\zeta_0\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_r})^{\varepsilon} = h_1c_{m-3}$. Then $\zeta \in \langle x, y, z\rangle$, and in view of $h = h_1c_{m-3} = h_1^y$ we have $g^{\zeta} = h$. □

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose m is even. Then $\langle x, y, z\rangle$ is transitive on H^*.*

Proof. Due to Lemma 3.8, we only need to prove that for any $u \in U^*$, there exists $\varepsilon \in \langle x, y\rangle$ such that $u^{\varepsilon} \in Uc_{m-3}$. Write $u = c_1^{k_1}c_2^{k_2}\cdots c_{m-3}^{k_{m-3}}$ with $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{m-3} \in \{0, 1\}$. Denote $V_i = \langle c_i, c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_{m-3}\rangle$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m-3$. Since $u \neq c_{m-3}$, there exists $0 \leqslant j \leqslant (m-6)/2$ such that $k_{2j+1} + k_{2j+2} > 0$. Let s be the smallest integer in $\{0, 1, \ldots, (m-6)/2\}$ such that $k_{2s+1} + k_{2s+2} > 0$. Taking

$$\varepsilon = x^{k_{2s+1}+k_{2s+2}-1}y(xy)^s,$$

we prove below that $u^{\varepsilon} \in Uc_{m-3}$ by induction on s.

First suppose $s = 0$. If $k_1 = 0$ and $k_2 = 1$, then $u = c_2u_1$ with $u_1 \in V_3$ and $u_1 \in Uc_{m-3}$ since $u \in U$. In this case, $u_1^y \in Uc_{m-3}$, and it follows that $u^{\varepsilon} = (c_2u_1)^y = c_1u_1^y \in Uc_{m-3}$. If $k_1 = 1$ and $k_2 = 0$, then $u = c_1u_1$ with $u_1 \in V_3$ and $u_1 \in U$ as $u \in U$. In this case, $u_1^y \in U$ and so $u^{\varepsilon} = (c_1u_1)^y = c_2u_1^y \in Uc_{m-3}$. If $k_1 = k_2 = 1$, then $u = c_1c_2u_1$ with $u_1 \in V_3$ and $u_1 \in Uc_{m-3}$ since $u \in U$. In this case, $u_1^x = a^2u_2$ for some $u_2 \in V_3 \cap Uc_{m-3}$, whence $u^{\varepsilon} = (c_1c_2u_1)^{xy} = (a^2c_2u_1^x)^y = (c_2u_2)^y = c_1u_2^y \in Uc_{m-3}$ as $u_2^y \in Uc_{m-3}$.

Next suppose $s > 0$. If $k_{2s+1} = 0$ and $k_{2s+2} = 1$, then $u = c_{2s+2}u_1$ with $u_1 \in V_{2s+3}$, which implies $u^y = (c_{2s+2}u_1)^y = c_{2s-1}c_{2s}c_{2s+1}u_1^y \in c_{2s-1}c_{2s}V_{2s+1}$. If $k_{2s+1} = 1$ and $k_{2s+2} = 0$, then $u = c_{2s+1}u_1$ with $u_1 \in V_{2s+3}$ and therefore $u^y = (c_{2s+1}u_1)^y = c_{2s-1}c_{2s}c_{2s+2}u_1^y \in c_{2s-1}c_{2s}V_{2s+1}$. If $k_{2s+1} = k_{2s+2} = 1$, then $u = c_{2s+1}c_{2s+2}u_1$ with $u_1 \in V_{2s+3}$ and $u_1 \in Uc_{m-3}$ since $u \in U$. In this case, $u_1^x = a^2u_2$ for some $u_2 \in V_{2s+3}$, and so $u^{xy} = (c_{2s+1}c_{2s+2}u_1)^{xy} = (a^2c_{2s+2}u_1^x)^y = (c_{2s+2}u_2)^y = c_{2s-1}c_{2s}c_{2s+1}u_2^y \in c_{2s-1}c_{2s}V_{2s+1}$. To sum up, we always have $u^{\varepsilon_0} \in c_{2s-1}c_{2s}V_{2s+1}$, where

$$\varepsilon_0 = x^{k_{2s+1}+k_{2s+2}-1}y.$$

By the inductive hypothesis,

$$(u^{\varepsilon_0})^{(xy)^s} = (u^{\varepsilon_0})^{x^{1+1-1}y(xy)^{s-1}} \in Uc_{m-3}.$$

Consequently,

$$u^{x^{k_{2s+1}+k_{2s+2}-1}y(xy)^s} = (u^{\varepsilon_0})^{(xy)^s} \in Uc_{m-3},$$

completing the proof. □

Lemma 3.11. *Suppose m is even. Then $\langle x, y, R(H)\rangle = \mathrm{Alt}(H)$.*

Proof. Let $G = \langle x, y, R(H)\rangle$. Notice that x, y and z all involutions of G fixing 1. By Lemma 3.10, $\langle x, y, z\rangle$ is transitive on H^*, and so is G_1, the stabilizer of 1 in G. This together with the transitivity of $R(H)$ on H implies that G is doubly transitive on H. Therefore, either cases (i) or case (iii) in Lemma 3.2 holds since m is even.

Assume that $G \leqslant \mathrm{AGL}_m(2)$ as in case (i) of Lemma 3.2. Then $(xyz)^8 \in G_1 \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_m(2)$ and hence the set of fixed points of $(xyz)^8$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Since $x|_{H_1} = x_1$ and $(yz)|_{H_1} = y_1z_1$, we have $(xyz)^8|_{H_1} = (x_1y_1z_1)^8$, and thus Lemma 3.3 shows that the number of fixed points of $(xyz)^8|_{H_1}$ is $|H_1| - 3|U \cap H_1| - 3|U \cap H_1| = 5 \cdot 2^{m-4}$. Furthermore, the number of fixed points of $(xyz)^8|_{H_1c_{m-3}}$ is $|H_1c_{m-3}| - 3|U \cap H_1| - 3|U \cap H_1| = 5 \cdot 2^{m-4}$. Hence the number of fixed points of $(xyz)^8$ is equal to $5 \cdot 2^{m-4} + 5 \cdot 2^{m-4} = 5 \cdot 2^{m-3}$, a contradiction.

Now $\mathrm{Alt}(H) \leqslant G \leqslant \mathrm{Sym}(H)$. This in conjunction with Lemma 2.3 forces $G = \mathrm{Alt}(H)$, which completes the proof. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Theorem 1.3 will follow directly from Lemmas 4.1–4.4 below.

Lemma 4.1. *Γ_m is a connected cubic graph.*

Proof. By Lemmas 3.6 and 3.11 we have for any $m \geqslant 4$ that

$$\langle x, y, R(H)\rangle = \mathrm{Alt}(H), \tag{11}$$

which already implies the connectivity of Γ_m. To prove that Γ_m is cubic, we show $|R(H)\{x, y\}R(H))| = 3|R(H)|$ in the following.

It is straightforward to verify that x and y are involutions normalizing $R(H)$ and $R(K)$, respectively. As a consequence, $R(H)xR(H) = R(H)x$ and $yR(H)y \cap R(H) \geqslant yR(K)y \cap R(K) = R(K)$. Notice that $yR(H)y \cap R(H) \neq R(H)$ for otherwise

$$\langle x, y, R(H)\rangle \leqslant \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{Alt}(H)}(R(H)) < \mathrm{Alt}(H),$$

contrary to (11). We derive that $yR(H)y \cap R(H) = R(K)$ as $R(K)$ has index two in $R(H)$. Accordingly, $|R(H)yR(H)|/|R(H)| = |R(H)|/|yR(H)y \cap R(H)| = 2$. Moreover, $R(H)xR(H) \cap R(H)yR(H) = \emptyset$ for otherwise $y \in \langle x, R(H)\rangle$, which would cause a contradiction $\langle x, y, R(H)\rangle \leqslant \langle x, R(H)\rangle \leqslant \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{Alt}(H)}(R(H)) < \mathrm{Alt}(H)$ to (11). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |R(H)\{x, y\}R(H))| &= |R(H)xR(H)| + |R(H)yR(H)| \\ &= |R(H)x| + 2|R(H)| = 3|R(H)|, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Lemma 4.2. *$\mathrm{Cay}(\mathrm{Alt}(H^*), \{x, y, z\})$ is a nonnormal Cayley graph of $\mathrm{Alt}(H^*)$ and is isomorphic to Γ_m by the map $g \mapsto R(H)g$.*

Proof. Let $S = \{x, y, z\}$. Consider the map $\varphi : g \mapsto R(H)g$ from $\mathrm{Alt}(H^*)$ to the vertex set of Γ_m. We see that φ is injective as $R(H) \cap \mathrm{Alt}(H^*) = 1$, and is therefore bijective as $|\mathrm{Alt}(H^*)| = |\mathrm{Alt}(H)|/|R(H)|$. In particular, $R(H)S$ is a disjoint union of $R(H)x$, $R(H)y$ and $R(H)z$. Then since

$$R(H)S = R(H)x \cup R(H)y \cup R(H)z \subseteq R(H)\{x, y\}R(H)$$

and $|R(H)\{x,y\}R(H)| = 3|R(H)|$ by Lemma 4.1, we conclude that

$$R(H)S = R(H)\{x,y\}R(H).$$

For any g_1 and g_2 in $\mathrm{Alt}(H^*)$, g_1 is adjacent to g_2 in $\mathrm{Cay}(\mathrm{Alt}(H^*), S)$ if and only if $g_2 g_1^{-1} \in \{x, y, z\}$, which is equivalent to $R(H)g_2g_1^{-1} \in \{R(H)x, R(H)y, R(H)z\}$. This means that for any elements g_1 and g_2 of $\mathrm{Alt}(H^*)$, g_1 and g_2 is adjacent in $\mathrm{Cay}(\mathrm{Alt}(H^*), S)$ if and only if

$$R(H)g_2g_1^{-1} \subseteq R(H)S = R(H)\{x,y\}R(H),$$

or equivalently, $R(H)g_1$ is adjacent to $R(H)g_2$ in Γ_m. Therefore, φ is a graph isomorphism from $\mathrm{Cay}(\mathrm{Alt}(H^*), S)$ to Γ_m. Moreover, $\mathrm{Alt}(H)$ acts as a group of automorphisms of Γ_m by right multiplication and $\mathrm{Alt}(H^*)$ is not normal in $\mathrm{Alt}(H)$, whence Γ_m is a nonnormal Cayley graph of $\mathrm{Alt}(H^*)$. □

Lemma 4.3. $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Alt}(H^*), \{x, y, z\}) = 1$.

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that there exists $1 \neq \sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(H^*)$ with

$$\{\sigma^{-1}x\sigma, \sigma^{-1}y\sigma, \sigma^{-1}z\sigma\} = \{x, y, z\}.$$

Then the conjugation action of σ induces a nontrivial permutation of $\{x, y, z\}$ as $\langle x, y, z\rangle = \mathrm{Alt}(H^*)$. By Lemma 4.2 and [14, Theorem 1.1] we know that Γ_m is not arc-transitive. Note that $R(a)$ interchanges the vertices $R(H)y$ and $R(H)z$ of Γ_m. In view of the isomorphism $g \mapsto R(H)g$ in Lemma 4.2, we have $\sigma^{-1}x\sigma = x$, $\sigma^{-1}y\sigma = z$ and $\sigma^{-1}z\sigma = y$. For any $w \in \mathrm{Sym}(H^*)$, denote by $\mathrm{Fix}(w)$ the set of fixed points of w on H^*. It follows that

$$|\mathrm{Fix}(y) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xyx)| = |\mathrm{Fix}(y)^\sigma \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xyx)^\sigma| = |\mathrm{Fix}(z) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xzx)|, \tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{Fix}(yxy) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xyxyx)| &= |\mathrm{Fix}(yxy)^\sigma \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xyxyx)^\sigma| \\ &= |\mathrm{Fix}(zxz) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xzxzx)|. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

First assume that $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. Let

$$M = \langle a^2b\rangle \times \langle c_1c_2\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle c_{2i-1}c_{2i}\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle c_{m-4}c_{m-3}\rangle.$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathrm{Fix}(y) = \{1, h\}M \setminus \{1\}$ and

$$M^x = \langle a^{-1}b\rangle \times \langle a^2c_2\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a^2c_{2i}\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a^2c_{m-3}\rangle.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Fix}(y) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xyx) &= \mathrm{Fix}(y) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(y)^x \\ &= (\{1, h\}M \setminus \{1\}) \cap (\{1, h^x\}M^x \setminus \{1\}) \\ &= (\{1, h\}M \cap \{1, a^2h\}M^x) \setminus \{1\} \\ &= \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

However, since the element $b\prod_{i=1}^{m-3} c_i$ of H^* is fixed by both z and xzx, we have $|\mathrm{Fix}(z) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xzx)| > 0$, contrary to (12).

Next assume that $m \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Let

$$M = \langle b\rangle \times \langle c_1c_2\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle c_{2i-1}c_{2i}\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle c_{m-4}c_{m-3}\rangle.$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathrm{Fix}(z) = \{1, a^2h\}M \setminus \{1\}$ and

$$M^x = \langle ab\rangle \times \langle a^2c_2\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a^2c_{2i}\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a^2c_{m-3}\rangle.$$

Hence $\mathrm{Fix}(z) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xzx) = \mathrm{Fix}(z) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(z)^x = \emptyset$. However, $a^2b\prod_{i=1}^{m-3} c_i$ is fixed by both xzx and z, so $|\mathrm{Fix}(y) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xyx)| > 0$. This again contradicts (12).

Now assume that $m \equiv 2 \pmod 4$. Let

$$M = \langle c_1\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle c_{2i-1}\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle c_{m-5}\rangle \times \langle ac_{m-3}\rangle.$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathrm{Fix}(x) = M \setminus \{1\}$ and thence

$$\mathrm{Fix}(yxy) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xyxyx) = \mathrm{Fix}(x)^y \cap \mathrm{Fix}(x)^{yx} = \emptyset.$$

However, $a^2b(\prod_{i=1}^{(m-4)/2} c_{2i})(\prod_{i=0}^{(m-6)/4} c_{4i-1})$ is fixed by both zxz and $xzxzx$. Thus,

$$|\mathrm{Fix}(zxz) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xzxzx)| > 0 = |\mathrm{Fix}(yxy) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xyxyx)|,$$

contrary to (13).

Finally assume that $m \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. Then in the same vein as above we have $\mathrm{Fix}(zxz) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xzxzx) = \emptyset$ while the element $b(\prod_{i=0}^{(m-4)/2} c_{2i})(\prod_{i=0}^{(m-4)/4} c_{4i-1})$ of H^* is fixed by both yxy and $xyxyx$. This causes

$$|\mathrm{Fix}(yxy) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xyxyx)| > 0 = |\mathrm{Fix}(zxz) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(xzxzx)|,$$

contradicting (13). □

In the following lemma we prove that the full automorphism of Γ_m is isomorphic to A_{2^m}. Some of the arguments here were used in the proof of [16, Theorem 1.2].

Lemma 4.4. $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_m) \cong \mathrm{A}_{2^m}$.

Proof. Let $A = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_m)$ and v be a vertex of Γ_m. Then by Lemma 4.2, A has a nonnormal vertex-regular subgroup G which is isomorphic to the alternating group A_{2^m-1}. Further, $\mathbf{N}_A(G) = G$ by Lemma 4.3. Note also that Γ_m is connected and cubic as Lemma 4.1 asserts. We derive from [14, Theorem 1.1] that A is not transitive on the arc set of Γ_m, and so A_v is a 2-group. Consequently, $|A|/|G| = |GA_v|/|G| = |A_v|/|G \cap A_v| = |A_v|$ is a power of 2. Since any nontrivial G-conjugacy class has size greater than 3, it follows from [3, Theorem 1.1] that one of the following two cases appear:

(i) $\mathrm{Soc}(A)$ is a nonabelian simple group containing G as a proper subgroup;
(ii) A has a nontrivial normal subgroup N such that N is not transitive on the vertex set of Γ_m and $\mathrm{Soc}(A/N)$ is a nonabelian simple group containing $GN/N \cong G$.

First assume that case (i) appears. Then as $|\mathrm{Soc}(A)|/|G|$ is a power of 2, we have $\mathrm{Soc}(A) = \mathrm{A}_{2^m}$ by [7, Theorem 1], and so $A \cong \mathrm{A}_{2^m}$ or S_{2^m}. If $A \cong \mathrm{S}_{2^m}$, then $\mathbf{N}_A(G) \cong \mathrm{S}_{2^m-1}$, contrary to the conclusion that $\mathbf{N}_A(G) = G$. Therefore, $A \cong \mathrm{A}_{2^m}$.

Next assume that case (ii) appears. In this case, $N \cap G = 1$ as $GN/N \cong G$. Hence $|N| = |N|/|N \cap G| = |NG|/|G|$ divides $|A|/|G|$. In particular, N is a 2-group. From the construction of Γ_m we know that A has a subgroup B that is isomorphic to A_{2^m} and contains G. Consider the action ϕ of B on N by conjugation. Since B is a simple group, either $\ker(\phi) = 1$ or $\ker(\phi) = B$. If $\ker(\phi) = B$, then B centralizes N and so $N \leqslant \mathbf{N}_A(G) = G$, contradicting the condition that $N \cap G = 1$. Hence we have $\ker(\phi) = 1$. Then $B \cong \mathrm{A}_{2^m}$ is isomorphic to an

irreducible subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(N/\Phi(N)) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_d(2)$ for some positive integer d with $2^d \leqslant |N|$, where $\Phi(N)$ is the Frattini subgroup of N. It follows that $d \geqslant 2^m - 2$ according to [8, Proposition 5.3.7]. Hence $\nu_2(|N|) \geqslant 2^m - 2$, where ν_2 is the 2-adic valuation. Moreover, N must be semiregular on the vertex set of Γ_m, for otherwise the quotient graph of Γ_m with respect to N would have valency 2 and so could not admit A/N as a group of automorphisms. Accordingly,

$$\nu_2(|N|) \leqslant \nu_2(|\mathrm{A}_{2^m-1}|) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{2^m-1}{2^i} \right\rfloor - 1 < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^m-1}{2^i} = 2^m - 2.$$

This contradicts the conclusion $\nu_2(|N|) \geqslant 2^m - 2$, not possible. □

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11501011 and 11671030). The authors are very grateful to Prof. M. Conder for helpful discussion.

References

[1] P. J. Cameron, *Permutation groups*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 45, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
[2] X. G. Fang, C. H. Li, J. Wang and M. Y. Xu, On cubic Cayley graphs of finite simple groups, *Discrete Math.* 244 (2002), no. 1-3, 67–75.
[3] X. G. Fang, C. E. Praeger and J. Wang, On the automorphism groups of Cayley graphs of finite simple groups, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 66 (2002), no. 3, 563–578.
[4] Y.-Q. Feng, Z.-P. Lu and M.-Y. Xu, Automorphism groups of Cayley digraphs, in *Application of Group Theory to Combinatorics*, edited by J. Koolen, J.H. Kwak and M.Y. Xu, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, London, 2008. pp. 13–25.
[5] C. D. Godsil, On the full automorphism group of a graph, *Combinatorica* 1 (1981), no. 3, 243–256.
[6] C. D. Godsil, The automorphism group of some cubic Cayley graphs, *European J. Combin.* 4 (1983), no. 1, 25–32.
[7] R. M. Guralnick, Subgroups of prime power index in a simple group, *J. Algebra* 81 (1983), no. 2, 304–311.
[8] P. B. Kleidman and M. W. Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 129, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
[9] J. Li, B. Lou and R. Wang, On cubic nonsymmetric Cayley graphs, *Open Journal of Discrete Mathematics* 3 (2013), no. 1, 39–42.
[10] P. Mihăilescu, Primary cyclotomic units and a proof of Catalan's conjecture, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 572 (2004), 167–195.
[11] C. E. Praeger, Finite normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 60 (1999), no. 2, 207–220.
[12] X. Wang, Y.-Q. Feng, Tetravalent half-edge-transitive graphs and non-normal Cayley graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 70 (2012), no. 2, 197–213.
[13] M.-Y. Xu, Automorphism groups and isomorphisms of Cayley digraphs, *Discrete Math.* 182 (1998), no. 1-3, 309–319.
[14] S. J. Xu, X. G. Fang, J. Wang and M. Y. Xu, On cubic s-arc arctransitive Cayley graphs of finite simple groups, *European J. Combin.* 26 (2005), no. 1, 133–143.
[15] S. J. Xu, X. G. Fang, J. Wang and M. Y. Xu, 5-arc transitive cubic Cayley graphs on finite simple groups, *European J. Combin.* 28 (2007), no. 3, 1023–1036.
[16] C. Zhang and X. G. Fang, A note on the automorphism groups of cubic Cayley graphs of finite simple groups, *Discrete Math.* 310 (2010), no. 21, 3030–3032.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, P. R. China
E-mail address: cjy1988@pku.edu.cn

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, P. R. China
E-mail address: binzhouxia@pku.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing, 100044, P. R. China
E-mail address: jxzhou@bjtu.edu.cn